ORF307 – Optimization

22. The role of optimization
Announcements

Participation
• Please send last note by the end of this weekend

Final Project
• Last year’s project out
• Longer coding exercise (similar to coding in homeworks)
• Topics on the whole course:
  • Least-squares
  • Linear optimization
  • Integer optimization
Today’s lecture
The role of optimization

• Geometry of optimization problems
• Solving optimization problems
• What’s left out there?
• The role of optimization
Basic use of optimization

Optimal decisions

Variables → Objective → Constraints → Decisions

Mathematical language

The algorithm computes them for you
Most optimization problems cannot be solved
Geometry of optimization problems
Least squares

\[ f(x) \]

\[ \text{minimize} \quad \| Ax - b \|^2 \]

\[ \text{subject to} \quad Cx = d \]

**Example**

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d \\ -0.7 \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ x^* = (0.05, -0.73) \]

Optimal point properties

- Minimum point of \( 2x^T A^T Ax - 2(A^T b)^T x \) over subspace \( Cx = d \)
Linear optimization

\[ f(x) \downarrow \]

minimize \( c^T x \)

subject to \( Ax \leq b \)
\( Cx = d \)

Optimal point properties

- Extreme points are optimal
- Need to search only between extreme points
Duality

**Dual function**

\[ g(y) \]

**Properties**

- Lower bound \( g(y) \leq f(x) \)
  
  \((x \text{ primal and } y \text{ dual feasible})\)

- Always concave
  
  \((\text{minimum of linear functions of } x)\)

**Strong duality**

\[ g(y^*) = f(x^*) \]

It holds unless primal and dual infeasible
Optimality conditions

**Linear optimization**

minimize \( c^T x \) \( \leftarrow f(x) \)
subject to \( Ax \leq b \)
\( Cx = d \)

**Least-squares**

minimize \( \| Ax - b \|^2 \) \( \leftarrow f(x) \)
subject to \( Cx = d \)

**KKT optimality conditions**

\[ \nabla f(x^*) + A^T y^* + C^T z^* = 0 \]
\[ y^* \geq 0 \]
\[ Ax^* \leq b \]
\[ Cx^* = d \]
\[ y_i^* (Ax^* - b)_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \]

dual feasibility
primal feasibility
complementary slackness
Integer optimization

minimize \[ c^T x \]
subject to \[ Ax \leq b \]
\[ x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i \in \mathcal{I} \]

Optimal point properties

- Extreme points are not optimal in general
- If all integral variables, then finite set of solutions
- \( x_i \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{Cannot use KKT optimality conditions} \)
Optimality in integer optimization

- Certify optimality: $L \leq c^T x^* \leq U$
- Return feasible point “incumbent”

Lower bounds from direct relaxation
- Do not give integer feasible $\bar{x}$
- Different than the optimal objective $c^T x^*$

Partition = Leaves

Optimality certificate in integer optimization
- Partition $S^j$
- Bounds $(L_j, U_j)$ $\forall j$

Example: Partitioned rectangle in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and associate binary tree, after 3 iterations.
Solving optimization problems
Numerical linear algebra

The core of optimization algorithms is linear systems solution

\[ Ax = b \]

Direct method

1. Factor \( A = A_1 A_2 \ldots A_k \) in “simple” matrices \( O(n^3) \)
2. Compute \( x = A_k^{-1} \ldots A_1^{-1} b \) by solving \( k \) “easy” linear systems \( O(n^2) \)

Main benefit

factorization can be reused
with different right-hand sides \( b \)

You never invert \( A \)
Solving least squares

minimize \[ \|Ax - b\|^2 \]
subject to \[ Cx = d \]

KKT linear system solution

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2A^TA & C^T \\
C & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x^* \\
z
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
2A^Tb \\
d
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Solving linear optimization

minimize  \( c^T x \)
subject to  \( Ax \leq b \)
\( Cx = d \)

No closed form solution

We need an iterative algorithm
Algorithms for linear optimization

**Primal simplex**
- Primal feasibility
- Zero duality gap
- Dual feasibility

**Dual simplex**
- Dual feasibility
- Zero duality gap
- Primal feasibility

**Interior-point methods**
- Interior condition
- Primal feasibility
- Dual feasibility
- Zero duality gap

Exponential worst-case complexity
Requires feasible point
Can be warm-started

Polynomial worst-case complexity
Allows infeasible start
Cannot be warm-started
Linear optimization solvers

- Very **reliable** and **efficient** (many open source)
- Can solve problems in **milliseconds** on small processors
- **Simplex** and **interior-point solvers** are **almost a technology**
- **Used daily** in almost everywhere
Solving mixed-integer optimization

minimize \[ c^T x \]
subject to \[ Ax \leq b \]
\[ x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad i \in \mathcal{I} \]

Relaxation does not always give feasible solutions

Recursively partition the feasible space
Algorithms for mixed-integer optimization

Branch and bound

Partition

Binary tree

Iteratively \textbf{branch} and \textbf{bound} until $U - L \leq \epsilon$
Mixed-integer optimization solvers

• Can be **slow** (the only very good ones are commercial)
• Recent **huge progress in hardware and software**
• Still **not a reliable technology**
• **Used daily** in almost everywhere
What’s left out there?
What we did not cover in continuous optimization?

Convex optimization

- Quadratic optimization
- Second-order cone optimization
- Semidefinite optimization
- Convex relaxations of combinatorial problems

Optimization applications

- Stochastic Optimization and ML in Finance (ORF311)
- Design, Synthesis, and Optimization of Chemical Processes (CBE442)

Covered in ORF363: Computing and Optimization
What we did not cover in machine learning?

**Machine**
- Analysis of big data (ORF350)
- Introduction to Machine Learning (COS324)
- Special Topics in Data and Information Science: Optimization for Machine Learning (ELE539)

**Decision-making under uncertainty**
- Optimal learning (ORF418)
The role of optimization
Optimization as a surrogate for real goal

Very often, optimization is not the actual goal

The goal usually comes from practical implementation (new data, real dynamics, etc.)

Real goal is usually encoded (approximated) in cost/constraints
Optimization problems are just models

“All models are wrong, some are useful.”

— George Box

Implications

• Problem formulation does not need to be “accurate”
• Objective function and constraints “guide” the optimizer
• The model includes parameters to tune

We often do not need to solve most problems to extreme accuracy
Data fitting

**Goal** learn model

\[ y \approx f(x) \]

from **training data**

\((x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, N\)

**Data**

- The goal of model is not to predict outcome for *given data* (Train)
- Instead, it is to predict the outcome on *new, unseen data* (Test)

\[ \downarrow \]

- A model *generalizes* if it makes reasonable predictions on unseen data
- A model *overfits* if it makes poor predictions on unseen data
Regularization as proxy for generalization

Regularized fitting LP

$$\text{minimize} \quad \|Ax - b\|_1 + \gamma \|x\|_1$$

Proxy
Train vs test error across regularization

Regularized fitting LP

\[
\text{minimize} \quad \|Ax - b\|_1 + \lambda \|x\|_1
\]

- Minimum test error \( \lambda \approx 1.15 \)
- Dashed lines: true values
- \( x \to 0 \) as \( \lambda \to \infty \)
Portfolio optimization

Goal: maximize average future returns
\[
\text{avg}(\tilde{R}w) = \tilde{\mu}^T w
\]

from historical returns
\[
T \times n \text{ matrix of asset returns: } \mathbf{R}
\]

Our model generalizes if a good \( w \) on past returns
leads to good future returns

Example

- Pick \( w \) based on last 2 years of returns
- Use \( w \) during next 6 months
Portfolio optimization

Minimize risk-return tradeoff
(on historical data)

Returns

\(-\mu^T w + \gamma \|Rw - \mu^T w \mathbf{1}\|_1\)

Risk

\(1^T w = 1\)

\(w \geq 0\)

Risk is a proxy to perform well in the future
Past vs future returns on portfolio optimization

Minimize risk-return tradeoff

minimize $-\mu^Tw + \gamma \| Rw - \mu^T w \|_1$

subject to $1^Tw = 1$

$w \geq 0$

• As $\gamma \to 0$, more aggressive
• As $\gamma \to \infty$, risk-averse
• Future is unclear
Conclusions

In ORF307, we learned to:

• **Model decision-making problems** across different disciplines as mathematical optimization problems.

• **Apply the most appropriate optimization tools** when faced with a concrete problem.

• **Implement** optimization algorithms

• **Understand** the limitations of optimization
Optimization cannot solve all our problems
It is just a mathematical model

But it can help us making better decisions

Thank you!

Bartolomeo Stellato